

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,933	REIHS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(2) Henry Steckler.

**Status of Application: Pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 July 2006

**Time:** 10 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Steckler to suggest amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. No approval was received from Applicant.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)